

Mapping Objectification in Early Algebraic Discourse

Avital Elbaum-Cohen, Einat Heyd-Metzuyanim, & Michal Tabach

Research Report

PME 48, Santiago, Chile

MOTIVATION & BACKGROUND

- The beginning of algebra learning poses multiple challenges to students (Kieran, 2022)
 - E.g. The challenge of solving equations such as $ax+b=cx+d$ (Radford, 2014)
- However, there is absence of tools for mapping what students are doing in the face of these challenges.
- Commognition - useful for mapping students' difficulties, as it provides a non-deficit lens on learning (Ben-Yehuda et al., 2005; Heyd-Metzuyanim, 2016)

THEORETICAL LENS – COMMUNICATIVE COGNITION

WHAT IS LEARNING?

Learning is the change in one's discourse

- a change in the way one communicates.

Discourses are made up of:

- Keywords and signifiers (2, $f(x)$, m)
- Routines for endorsing narratives about these objects
 - Adding, subtracting, balancing an equation
- Meta-rules governing these routines, when to apply them, and which routines are appropriate
 - Often implicit and only enacted

COMMOGNITIVE CONCEPTUALIZATION OF OBJECTIFICATION

- Objects are the central component of a discourse
 - Arithmetic discourse – discourse about numbers
 - Early algebraic discourse – discourse about indeterminates (unknowns, variables)
 - Algebraic discourse – discourse about functions
- So far, objectification has been studied in the context of:
 - Numbers (Sfard & Lavie, 2005; Lavie & Sfard, 2019)
 - Functions (Caspi & Sfard, 2012, Nachlieli & Tabach, 2012; Sfard & Linchevsky, 1994)
 - Infinite quantities (Kim et al. 2012)

WHAT ARE SOME OF THE EXPECTED METARULES IN EARLY ALGEBRAIC DISCOURSE?

- The participants should be answering new questions:
 - Given the specific result of a calculation what was the number acted upon?
 - $x + 3 = 8$
 - $7x = 14$
 - Given a relation between two (or more) numbers, what is the unknown?
 - $x + 3 = 2x - 6$

Caspi & Sfard (2012); Filloy & Rojano (1989); Radford (2014)

RESEARCH QUESTION

How do we map objectification of
non-specific numbers in students' early
algebraic discourse?

THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENT: WHAT DOES IT MEAN TO OBJECTIFY UNKNOWNNS?

An unknown **number**

- Adopts new signifiers (x, m, n ...), instead of “two”, “third”, “million”
 - But can also be verbal (“the number”)

Objectifying unknowns means:

- Learning to attribute all the familiar characteristics of numbers to the new signifiers
- Adopting new meta-rules about the questions that can be asked on the unknown

METHODOLOGY – DATA

- Early Algebraic Discourse Profile (EADP) interviews.
- 10 students, 7th grade, various achievement levels.
- Think-aloud format, individual interviews
- Focus on 'I thought of a number' problem.

I thought of a specific number. If I multiply it by 7 and subtract from the product 54, I will get the number I was thinking of. What is the number I was thinking of? Explain how you solved it.

ANALYTICAL QUESTIONS AROUND ATTRIBUTING TO A SIGNIFIER THE PROPERTIES OF NUMBERS

- 1 Is there a signifier (symbolic or non-symbolic) in the discourse for a non-specific number?
- 2 Is the signifier (symbolic or non-symbolic) something that can be acted upon?
- 3 Can the result of an action on a non-specific number be a non-specific number?

DETECTING META-RULES IN STUDENTS' DISCOURSE AROUND NON-SPECIFIC NUMBERS

- Focused on students' questions and exclamations as they halted or got stuck
- Asked:
 - What are the declared and implied constraints on the routines appropriate for the task?
 - What are the student's expectations regarding the routines and when are they disrupted?

FIRST CASE - ERAN

I thought of a specific number. If I multiply it by 7 and subtract from the product 54, I will get the number I was thinking of. What is the number I was thinking of? Explain how you solved it.

No.	Spkr	What is said ((what is done))
-----	------	-------------------------------

182	Eran	(Reads the question), like, like A times 7 minus 54? Like that's the exercise?
-----	------	--

183	Interv.	OK
-----	---------	----

184	Eran	I don't know which number you're thinking of
-----	------	--

185	Interv	That's what you need to...
-----	--------	----------------------------

186	Eran	You could think of any number
-----	------	-------------------------------

...

190	Eran	Where would I know what number you thought of? There's not enough data for that
-----	------	---

191	Inter.	What's that A you wrote there?
-----	--------	--------------------------------

192	Eran	Unknown. We don't know what it is
-----	------	-----------------------------------

...

194	Eran	That's the number she's thinking of. The number she's thinking of is A.
-----	------	---

.

198	Eran	I can't solve it because I could do that A equals a million and then it's a million times 7 (...) It's impossible.
-----	------	--

Metarule: unknowns function as "place-holders" for specific numbers. The only way to find them is if you reveal what they are.

There's a signifier for a non-specific number

SECOND CASE - LIAT

I thought of a specific number. If I multiply it by 7 and subtract from the product 54, I will get the number I was thinking of. What is the number I was thinking of? Explain how you solved it.

No. Speaker What is said ((what is done))

285 Liat (reads) I thought of a specific number that if I multiply it by
subtract.. (writes) x , $7x$, $7x$ minus 54

...

287 Liat What did I do? But what is the x equals x perhaps?

288 Int Read the question, you seem a bit

289 Liat I don't know, I don't, I don't ((era... if I
multiply it by seven,

290 Liat x times 7 and I subtract... x ... x is the specific number.

291 Liat If I multiply it by 7 and subtract 54 from the product,

292 Liat But what do we do if I subtract (from) the product 54? **How do we
write it as an exercise?**

Metarule: unknowns
function as “place-holders”
for specific numbers. They
can be found through
calculations (“exercises”),
similarly to specific
numbers

There's a signifier for a
non-specific number

$$x \cdot 7$$

$$x \cdot 7 - 54$$

x Can be acted upon

THIRD CASE - GIL

I thought of a specific number. If I multiply it by 7 and subtract from the product 54, I will get the number I was thinking of. What is the number I was thinking of? Explain how you solved it.

No. Speak What is said ((what is done))
er

192 Gil reads the question, asks a clarifying question, the interviewer answers.

193 Gil Okay, alright. So, I'll do it ((writes and talks at the same time))
x plus fifty-four divided by seven

194 Gil Wait what? ((erases what he wrote))

X Can be acted upon

he found the answer

There's a signifier for a non-specific number

$$\frac{x + 54}{7} =$$

212- Gil I tried to put a number that was like a
218 that **it didn't make sense**, and
and then I got that **it didn't make sense**
the (number with) **biggest single digits**

Metarule: unknowns function as "place-holders" for specific numbers. They can be found through "exercise" or through various manipulations, constrained by the numbers they relate to.

FOURTH CASE - TOM

I thought of a specific number. If I multiply it by 7 and subtract from the product 54, I will get the number I was thinking of. What is the number I was thinking of? Explain how you solved it.

No. Metarule: unknowns can be found through equations

and writes an equation

32 Metarule: However, some
33 things can be done on
numbers (e.g. subtract
from both sides) that
cannot be done on X
(subtract x from both
sides)

you wrote?

$$7x + 54 = x - 54$$
$$7x = x - 54$$

However: $7x$ divided by 7
becomes $x/7$;
X behaves differently than a
number

can be a non-specific
number

62
63 Tom No, I just don't understand
54, 54, can be equal to

$$7x + 54 = x - 54$$
$$\frac{7x}{7} + 54 = \frac{x}{7} - 54$$
$$\frac{7x}{7} + 54 = \frac{x}{7}$$

FIFTH CASE - ALON

I thought of a specific number. If I multiply it by 7 and subtract from the product 54, I will get the number I was thinking of. What is the number I was thinking of? Explain how you solved it.

No. Spoke What is said ((what is done))

3 Alon Metarule: unknowns can be found through equations that specify their exact relations

(ain)

$$\begin{aligned} 7x - 54 &= x \\ 6x &= 54 \\ \frac{6x}{6} &= \frac{54}{6} \\ x &= 9 \end{aligned}$$

5- Alon Yes...mmm...I gave the number an unknown which is x, (I) multiplied it (x) by 7, 7 x, and then I subtract from the product 54 and it says we get the number itself. So, I made it (the number) x

There's a signifier for a non-specific number;
The signifier can be acted upon;
The result of the actions can be a non-specific number

11 Alon now I did... 7 x...to move it (the x on the right side)
- to here (to the left side) so that's minus x and so
13 it's 6 x, and then it is moved to here (54 from left to right), so, it's 54.

14 However: numbers and signifiers
15 behave differently in equations
16 than in familiar arithmetic discourse ("moved" and "change signs")

(and denominator) by 6

SUMMARY

	Use of symbols	Attributes of Signifiers	Meta-rules about non-specific numbers	Correct solution
Eran	A	Stand as a “place-holder” of a number	Need to be “told”. No other way to find them.	-
Liat	$7x-54=$	Stand as a place-holder; Are acted upon	Can be found through “exercise” (calculation)	-
Gil	$(x+54)/7$	Stand as a place-holder; Are acted upon	Can be found through relations	-
Tom	$7x-54=x$	Stand as a place-holder; Are acted upon; Function also as result	Can be found through equations; but can be manipulated “non-numerically”	-
Alon	$7x-54=x$	Stand as a place-holder; Are acted upon; Function also as result	Can be found through equations; but carry some non-numeric attributes	+

DISCUSSION

- Signifying non-specific numbers and the adoption of new metarules around them go hand in hand
- However, there are places where the meta-rules develop independently of the signifier
 - e.g.: Alon's treatment of the X signifiers agrees with all the properties of numbers
 - However, his meta-rules of “moving sides” in equations do not apply to numbers
 - Tom's meta-rules of finding unknowns with equations are relatively sophisticated
 - However, his use of the signifier X in an equation does not always agree with attributes of numbers.

THEORETICAL CONTRIBUTION

An unknown **number**

- Adopts new signifiers (x, m, n ...)
- Should keep all the properties of numbers from the arithmetic discourse

Objectifying unknowns means:

- Learning to attribute all the familiar characteristics of numbers to unknowns
- Saming between the new signifiers and numbers
- Adopting new meta-rules about the questions that can be asked on the unknown

METHODOLOGICAL & EMPIRICAL CONTRIBUTION

- Developed a method for mapping early algebraic discourse according to analytical questions that pertain to:
 - Use of signifiers for non-specific numbers
 - Declared and Implied meta-rules
- We found variation in the level of objectification of non-specific numbers, in 7th graders' discourse

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

avitalec.ntear@gmail.com

einathm@technion.ac.il

tabachm@tauex.tau.ac.il